# Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

| Paragraph Number | 3.61 & para 2 on page 88 | Policy Number and Name | Policy SDA12: Land at Wroughton | Policies Map (Section or layer) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is:

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4.(1) Legally compliant | Yes | | No | ✓ |
| 4.(2) Sound | Yes | | No | ✓ |

Please tick as appropriate

*Representations should focus on whether the emerging Plan is legally complaint and sound in accordance with paragraph 36 of the 2024 NPPF. This means assessing whether it has been positively prepared, is robustly justified and evidence led, is effective, and is consistent with national planning policy.*

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan please also use this box to set out your comments.

On page 86, paragraph 3.61 states:
*"Wroughton will be expected to deliver **595** new homes through three interconnected development parcels: two extensions on Wroughton's eastern extent and one parcel located west of Swindon Road."*

Yet just two pages later, the actual allocation policy states:
*"Policy SDA12 allocates sites for at least **485** homes in the plan period."*

The accompanying Table SDA12.1 identifies only two sites:

- WR01 – Artis Farm, Swindon Road: 185 homes.
- WR02 – Akers Land / Land to the rear of Prospect Hospice: 300 homes.

These add up to exactly **485** homes, not **595**.

Furthermore, Appendix 3, the Monitoring Framework (page 239), sets a target of **589** new homes across the plan period for SDA12.

This inconsistency suggests the housing numbers for Wroughton have not been properly reconciled with the final allocation policy.

It is not simply that the Local Plan contains typographical errors. It is that the Local Plan fails to accurately identify the scale of development proposed for Wroughton, potentially undermining the clarity and effectiveness of Policy SDA12.

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The discrepancies outlined above create uncertainty over the intended scale of residential development in Wroughton. This is contrary to the purposes of a Local Plan, which is to provide communities with assurances about future development.

Wroughton and Wichelstowe Parish Council therefore requests the following modifications:

**1. Clarification and correction of the housing figures**
Swindon Borough Council should confirm the intended housing allocation for SDA12, supported by the relevant housing land supply evidence and site capacity assessments. Once established, the figures should be amended.

**2. Correction of the description of development parcels**
Paragraph 3.61 refers to three development parcels, whereas Policy SDA12 identifies only two allocated sites (WR01 and WR02). The supporting text should be amended to reflect the sites actually allocated within the policy.

**4. Confirmation of the infrastructure implications**
Swindon Borough Council should confirm which housing figure has been used to assess the infrastructure requirements associated with SDA12, particularly transport, education, healthcare and other community facilities. Where the housing figure underpinning those assessments differs from the confirmed allocation, the council should demonstrate that the supporting infrastructure evidence remains appropriate or update it accordingly.

These modifications are necessary to ensure that Policy SDA12 is clear, internally consistent, justified by appropriate evidence and capable of effective implementation and monitoring.

*Please note, in your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.*

***After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.***

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

☑ **No**, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

☐ **Yes**, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

***Please note*** *the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.*

9. Do you wish to receive notifications about the progress of the Local Plan, including future consultation updates, submission of the Plan for examination and adoption of the Plan?

☑ Yes ☐ No

If you have selected yes, notifications will be sent via email where an email address has been provided.